

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,511	12/27/2000	Balas Natarajan Kausik	028410-0002 DIV	6911	
20350	7590 04/06/200		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP			SEAL, JAMES		
EIGHTH FL	ARCADERO CENTEI OOR	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRAN	CISCO, CA 94111-3	834	2135	,	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(a)				
•		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/750,511	KAUSIK, BALAS	NATARAJAN /			
	Examiner	Art Unit	/			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	James Seal	2135	ldroop			
Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	iui tile correspondence at	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	7 December 2000					
·	This action is non-final.					
- /-	- / ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	· •				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>98</u> is/are pending in the application	n					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	nami nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>98</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	inor					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		by the Everiner				
Applicant may not request that any objection to t						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	** '	` '	ED 1 101(d)			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
 Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure 		received in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	` ',''	received.				
Attachment/c)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖 Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/750,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in response to applicant's correspondence of 27 December 2000.

- 2. IDS was considered by the examiner and a signed copy is returned with this action.
- 3. Amendament to specification have been entered.
- Claims 1-97 have been cancelled.
- 5. Claim 98 has been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 98 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ganesan US 5535276, and further in view of Johnson et. al. US 5815573 and Matyas et. al. US 5142578 A.
- 8. As per claim 98, the limitation of dividing an exponent d of the private key of a public key cryptosystem into two portions is taught by Ganesan in "Communications using Split Private Key Asymmetric Cryptography" (see Column 2, lines 62-64). Ganesan is silent on the limitation that the key should be divided into a most significant portion and a least significant portion.
- 9. Johnson teaches breaking a key (a number) into two or more portions which are related to the bit size, for the purpose of allowing law enforcement agencies in countries

. .

Application/Control Number: 09/750,511

Art Unit: 2135

in which strong encryption (say 56 bit DES) is forbidden but 40 bit encryption (weak) DES is permit. This is done by splitting the key and giving a portion related to the size of the key to the law enforcement agency and another portion related to the size of the key is kept by the user (see Column 6, lines 61-67 and Column 7, lines 1-7, Figure 1). As the number of bits is chosen to break into portions is chosen from left to right (or right to left, little or big Endian systems) the portions are selected according to most and least significance (Column 7, lines 4-7). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to use the teachings of Johnson in combination with those of Genesan because manipulations of bits by a computer and in particular selecting a least significant portion or a most significant portion is only a material of position in the register.

- 10. The limitation of storing in a secure database is taught by Ganesan (Column 14, lines 33-34), but Genesan and Johnson are silent on how the database is secured.
- 11. Matyas discloses the use of Secure key management employment key encrypt key (KEK) storage as the preferred way for secure key storage (Column 2, line 66-68 and Column 3, lines 1-18). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to combine the teaching of Genesan/Johnson with those Matyas in particular key storage has the following two problems: keys are short data strings and keys must be entered and extracted quiet frequently. By encrypting individual keys rather than the entire data, one conserves resources when a key must be entered or extracted. Further Matytas further discloses concatenating the key with identification material (a control vector). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made would have been motivated to further combine the teachings of concatenating the encrypted key with identification material such as a password or PIN or in the form of identification material that only the user would have that is the other half of the key. Claim 98 is rejected.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Seal whose telephone number is 703 308 4562.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on 703 305 4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James Seal

AU 2135

2 April 2004